ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 12/07/12 Date Received: 11/29/12

Project: % of Acid M09819, F&BI 211475

Date Extracted: 12/04/12 Date Analyzed: 12/05/12

RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF AQUEOUS SAMPLES FOR SPECIFIC GRAVITY @ 15.56 °C

Sample ID Laboratory ID	Specific Gravity
M09819A Sm Tank 211475-01	1.16
M09819B Lg tank	1.20

Note: The third significant digit is an estimate

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 12/07/12 Date Received: 11/29/12

Project: % of Acid M09819, F&BI 211475

Date Extracted: NA
Date Analyzed: 11/30/12

RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF AQUEOUS SAMPLES FOR PERCENT ACID

Sample ID Laboratory ID	Percent Acid
M09819A Sm Tank 211475-01	11
M09819B Lg tank	13

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 12/07/12 Date Received: 11/29/12

Project: % of Acid M09819, F&BI 211475

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF AQUEOUS SAMPLES FOR SPECIFIC GRAVITY

@ 15.56 °C

Laboratory Code: 211475-01(Duplicate)

	\mathbf{Sample}	Duplicate	Relative Percent	Acceptance
_Analyte	Result	Result	Difference	Criteria
Specific Gravity	1.16	1.16	0	0-2

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 12/07/12 Date Received: 11/29/12

Project: % of Acid M09819, F&BI 211475

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF AQUEOUS SAMPLES FOR PERCENT ACID

Laboratory Code: 211475-01 (Duplicate)

			Relative		
Analyte	Sample Result	Duplicate Result	Percent Difference	Acceptance Criteria	
Percent Acid	11	11	1	0-20	

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Data Qualifiers & Definitions

- a The analyte was detected at a level less than five times the reporting limit. The RPD results may not provide reliable information on the variability of the analysis.
- A1 More than one compound of similar molecule structure was identified with equal probability.
- b The analyte was spiked at a level that was less than five times that present in the sample. Matrix spike recoveries may not be meaningful.
- ca The calibration results for this range fell outside of acceptance criteria. The value reported is an estimate.
- c The presence of the analyte indicated may be due to carryover from previous sample injections.
- d The sample was diluted. Detection limits may be raised due to dilution.
- ds The sample was diluted. Detection limits are raised due to dilution and surrogate recoveries may not be meaningful.
- dv Insufficient sample was available to achieve normal reporting limits and limits are raised accordingly.
- fb Analyte present in the blank and the sample.
- fc The compound is a common laboratory and field contaminant.
- hr The sample and duplicate were reextracted and reanalyzed. RPD results were still outside of control limits. The variability is attributed to sample inhomogeneity.
- ht Analysis performed outside the method or client-specified holding time requirement.
- ip Recovery fell outside of normal control limits. Compounds in the sample matrix interfered with the quantitation of the analyte.
- j The result is below normal reporting limits. The value reported is an estimate.
- J The internal standard associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration is an estimate.
- jl The analyte result in the laboratory control sample is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- jr The rpd result in laboratory control sample associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- js The surrogate associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- lc The presence of the compound indicated is likely due to laboratory contamination.
- L The reported concentration was generated from a library search.
- nm The analyte was not detected in one or more of the duplicate analyses. Therefore, calculation of the RPD is not applicable.
- pc The sample was received in a container not approved by the method. The value reported should be considered an estimate.
- pr The sample was received with incorrect preservation. The value reported should be considered an estimate.
- ve Estimated concentration calculated for an analyte response above the valid instrument calibration range. A dilution is required to obtain an accurate quantification of the analyte.
- vo The value reported fell outside the control limits established for this analyte.
- x The sample chromatographic pattern does not resemble the fuel standard used for quantitation.

								Co tak	M098/9B		Sm tark	M09819 A	Baqto ()		Cay, Mar. IP SEAGLE UNA 98134	211475 George Company
			1	1					Ø2			01	1.		THE LIM	Jan 1
	7	1/61	1						Jh8h			11/5/2	Dieto		45-285-90 45184	0
		3							12:30			12:20	Time		34/	\$ E.
	-		(B)						the			140	Туре	و ا	REMARKS	PROJECT NAMENO.
		Ohan	teras 1	PROTEIN IN	-رادما				1				# of			ALYOF CA
		phan	مليور					-		_			TPH-Diesel TPH-Gaseline BTEX by 8021B		,	91001
		3			نومنون بنون								VOCs by 8260 SVOCs by 8270			3 1
		FOB	ACI						×			×	7.5 Act			M08818
Autorial Services		7	5	A. A.			-	-			-	K	Spec, Gamply		000	
		11/19/11	/Ke/n	SEVO											201	
		7	1160	The last									Notes		or 30 days ples h instructions	4 18 4 T

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Bradley T. Benson, B.S. Kurt Johnson, B.S. 3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 TEL: (206) 285-8282 e-mail: fbi@isomedia.com

December 7, 2012

Gerald Thompson, Project Manager Alaskan Copper Works PO Box 3546 Seattle, WA 98146

Dear Mr. Thompson:

Included are the results from the testing of material submitted on November 29, 2012 from the % of Acid M09819, F&BI 211475 project. There are 5 pages included in this report. Any samples that may remain are currently scheduled for disposal in 30 days. If you would like us to return your samples or arrange for long term storage at our offices, please contact us as soon as possible.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

Michael Erdahl Project Manager

Enclosures ACU1207R.DOC